



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/784,067 | 02/20/2004 | Arvin Shmilovich | P114660 | 2609 | |
| 22931 75 | 590 05/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HUGHES LAW FIRM, PLLC | | | SWIATEK, ROBERT P | | |
| PACIFIC MERIDIAN PLAZA, SUITE 302 4164 MERIDIAN STREET BELLINGHAM, WA 98226-5583 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3643 | | |
| . | | | DATE MAILED: 05/02/200 | 5 - | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 7 | SHMILOVICH ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robert P. | Swiatek | 3643 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) f | led on 20 February 200 | <u>)4</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | _ | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 27-37 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13 and 15-26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 July 2004</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-20-2004</u>. | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayer Jr. (US 3,604,661). The patent to Mayer Jr. discloses an aircraft having wings 2 with rounded leading and tapered trailing edges 3, 4 as well as a series of nozzles in the form of slots 17. Each slot is parallel to the wing chord axis and discharges air received through interior chambers 13, 15 and an inlet 16 from a pressure chamber 8. The slot 17 at the distal end of a wing is considered to be located proximate the wing's outer end portion 12. Air issuing from this distal end slot would have a "substantial discharge alignment component" perpendicular to the wing chord axis and parallel to the longitudinal axis of the wing.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayer Jr. Each slot 17 of Mayer Jr. is considered to constitute a nozzle section, from which pressurized air is discharged. The slot 17 at the distal end of each wing 2 is deemed to be positioned proximate the wing outer end portion such that air issuing from it has a substantial discharge alignment component perpendicular to the wing chord axis and parallel to the wing longitudinal axis.

Art Unit: 3643

Claims 1-13, 27-36 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 must end with a period; in claim 27, line 16, "an" should be changed to -a-. Appropriate correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10, line 10, "Fig. 5A-5B" should be changed to –Figs. 5A-5D–, in line 16, "Fig." should be changed to – Figs.–; on page 16, line 21, "is" should be changed to –are–; on page 19, line 23, "were" should be changed to –was–; on page 27, lines 25-27, and page 28, lines 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, numerals "62a" and "62b" should be changed to –60a– and –60b–, respectively.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because Figure 6 does not include section line 7-7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/784,067

Art Unit: 3643

Page 4

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 2-13, 15-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The patents to Lee (US 2,477,461) and Cornish III (US 3,480,234) have been cited to provide additional examples of devices for altering airfoil fluid flow.

RPS: ©571/272-6894

27 April 2005

Robert P. Swiatele

ROBERT P. SWIATEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 323 3643